

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,387	12/17/2003	Julio F. Rodrigues	T&B 1755	7246	
7590 07/06/2006			EXAMINER		
G. Andrew Barger			LEON, EDWIN A		
Thomas & Betts Corporation 8155 T&B Boulevard, 4B-36			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Memphis, TN 38125			2833		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/738,387	RODRIGUES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Edwin A. León	2833		

	Edwiii A. Leon		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completollowing time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evid in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the feature tutory period for reply originally set in	e. The appropriate extensi the final Office action; or (2	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compofiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief will not be entered	herause
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			Decause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendmer	it (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and ar	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or atta	iched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pag	er No(s)	
13. Other:		N	- -•
		C T	CT. NGUYEN
			RY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claims 1, 11 and 17, that the Ming-Hwa reference doesn't show the sleeve (13) having at least one protrusion (16), Applicant's attention is directed to Figs. 1-2 in which the Ming-Hwa reference clearly discloses the sleeve (13) having at least one protrusion (16). Applicant is also reminded that Column 3, Lines 6-15 of the Ming-Hwa reference also clearly states that the protrusions (16) are formed on each clamping section (14) and separated by grooves (14'). Therefore, it is the Examiner's opinion that the Ming-Hwa reference would meet Applicant's claims in their broadest interpretation..